STATE OF NEVADA



BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR SOCIAL WORKERS

4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite C121, Reno, Nevada 89502

Board Minutes – April 13, 2022

Call to Order and Roll Call. Esther Langston called the April 13th, 2022, meeting for the Board of Examiners for Social Workers (BESW) to order at 9:04 a.m. followed by Roll Call: Esther Langston, Board President; Abigail Klimas, Board Member; and Jacqueline Sanders, Board Member; Karen Oppenlander, Executive Director; Harry Ward, Deputy Attorney General, Board Counsel. Guests: Sydney Klein, Lagomasino Law paralegal representing Jessica Veik; Justin Shiroff, Wilson Elser Law representing Kristopher Komarek. Absent: Linda Holland Browne, Board Vice President; and Susan Nielsen, Board Member.

Following, Langston moved to Agenda Item 2 Public Comment. Ward asked attendees to introduce themselves before speaking by stating their full name for the record. He added that people may submit emails for public comment. Oppenlander indicated that the Board had not received public comment via email. Langston moved forward as there was no public comment.

Langston moved to Board Operations, Agenda Item 3, Review and Discuss Board Meeting Minutes for March 16, 2022 (For Possible Action). The Board motioned to approved both open session and closed session minutes as submitted without changes or corrections.

A motion was made to approve Board Meeting Minutes for March 18, 2022, by Jacqueline Sanders, seconded by Abigail Klimas. Roll call vote: Langston – Aye, Sanders - Aye, Klimas – Aye. Motion passed unanimously.

Langston moved to Review and Discuss Quarter Three Financials from January 2022 through March 2022 (For Possible Action). Oppenlander discussed the third quarter financials stating that BESW is at the third quarter of the fiscal year that starts on July 1st, 2021 and ends June 30th, 2022. Therefore, BESW is at the 75% mark. Income is at 80% so we are doing well. We're on target to meet our budget projections for the end of the fiscal year. In terms of volume, we received 252 applications during this quarter and issued 162 licenses and 48 internships. Our endorsement fees are at 192%, which is positive. Expenses are also doing well. At the 75% mark of the year, BESW is coming in at 58%. The salaries are at 72% and in line with projections, other expenses are mostly in line. The Tort claim fund is high because the state raised our rates and didn't notify us in time to accurately reflect this in the budget. The Tort resolves administrative claims for personal injury, property damage, or death arising from the alleged negligence of officers and employees while acting in the scope of their official duties.

Continuing, some operating costs are a little higher in part due to the volume of the applications we're receiving. It means more copy paper, more licensing jackets, and etcetera. Our records, storage fees are higher. Also, costs were higher as we sent out certificates for the roll up of the LSWs to the LMSW category. Credit card processing is over our budget projections. This is related to putting new

applications online. In other words, we're using more credit cards versus checks and money orders, and it this will continue to increase as we finish putting internship applications online.

Next, Langston moved to Agenda Item 3C. Board Review of Voluntary Surrender Agreement Kristopher Lee Komarek, License No. 6832-C, (For Possible Action). For the record, Harry Ward, Deputy Attorney General briefly described a roadmap of for the Board to go forward with the voluntary surrender. Ward stated that procedurally he has opposing counsel in attendance. Regarding Item 3C Board Review of Voluntary Surrender Agreement Kristopher Lee Komarek, License No. 6832-C, Case Number G21-002 before the Board is a voluntary surrender in lieu of other disciplinary action. There's been an agreement between the parties, that the licensee Mr. Komarek who now lives in Puerto Rico does not have to be present at this meeting. He is represented by counsel. After Ward gives information to the Board and knowing that the Board has received the voluntary surrender before the meeting, as well as the public records, Ward will be available for any questions from the Board as will opposing counsel. If this Board does approve the voluntary surrender, Madam President will sign it as well as the Executive Director. It does not matter if we have two or three signature pages and late last night, Ward got the signature from the licensee. So, we can have his signature attached with the Executive Director who might be in one location, as well as Madam President who might be in another location. If this matter is approved i.e., the voluntary surrender by the Board, Ward will then draft an Order consistent with the voluntary surrender. And Ward will submit that to Madam President, so this does not have to be done during the open session. It is a formality of doing an Order consistent as to what was contained in the voluntary surrender. At this time, Ward asked for opposing counsel to make an appearance on the record.

Attorney Justin Shiroff for respondent and licensee Kristopher Lee Komarek agreed that Ward's presentation was consistent with his understanding of what was happening in this matter. And that he was appearing today as a courtesy to his partner, Eric Striker who is traveling and unavoidably detained. Having no further comment, he yielded the floor.

Klimas asked for clarification about a following agenda item that refers to Komarek's pre-hearing motions. **Ward** indicated that the following agenda item will not be heard today as it was Mr. Striker's motion who would want to be present in the event this matter goes to a hearing. The reason we put this on this agenda was as a fallback in case this matter goes to a full-fledged hearing; then, opposing counsel would have the right to his pre-hearing motions.

Langston prompted the Board members for discussion. Klimas wanted to know if the Board can discuss the settlement agreement without a closed meeting. Ward responded that the Board could discuss, ask questions of opposing counsel, or Board counsel and etcetera. The only things that can be commented on would be the matters that are before the Board e.g., the voluntary surrender, the public records that were received, the complaint, and so forth. The Board can ask us questions and we can respond accordingly. Klimas then asked, "How was \$5,000 determined as the fee for this case?" Ward referred the Board to paragraph three that says: Without conceding, any of the allegations contained in the complaint, I wish to voluntary surrender my license for no less than five years. Ward continued: In other words, he's going to voluntarily surrender his license and agrees to reimburse the Board for investigative costs and costs to prosecute this matter in an amount up to \$5,000. Ward will be

submitting and has worked up an affidavit as far as his billable hours to this Board to date and it has already exceeded \$5,000 without including today's charges. The reason why we do that is because this Board is billed on a billable hour by the AGs office and this Board does not receive money from the state general fund. Putting this into the agreement reduces the costs for the Board having to come out of pocket. So, this is normal for the Board to get reimbursed. In addition to the agreement to reimburse the Board for investigative costs to prosecute this matter up to \$5,000, there is also an agreement to an administrative disciplinary fine of no more than \$5,000, the later fine payable only if the license is reinstated. This fine goes to the State of Nevada general fund. This Board does not see any of that money. There is a statute that says that fines may be up to \$5,000. Ward continued stating that in this case, if the licensee does decide to come back and reapply for his license after five years, then he would be required to pay the fine to the state. So in other words, it's a suspension of the fine. If he does decide to come back and reapply, he's going to have to pay that \$5,000. This Board would also be able to review the voluntary settlement. It is my understanding that the licensee will not want to reapply in five years as he ready for retirement.

Abigail Klimas motioned to approve Voluntary Surrender in Lieu of other Disciplinary Actions from Kristopher Lee Komarek, License No. 6832-C as stated in the voluntary surrender agreement; and the motion was seconded by Jacqueline Sanders. Roll call vote: Klimas – Aye, Sanders – Aye, Langston – Aye. Motion approved unanimously.

Ward stated that he would submit an Order for the President's signature and that the Executive Director would place that into the case file. Also, Ward will update the affidavit in regard to time spent in this matter and will submit this to opposing counsel to demonstrate the investigative costs and costs to prosecute this matter that have exceeded \$5,000. And regarding Agenda Item 3D, Review and Discuss Pre-Hearing Motions for Kristopher Lee Komarek, License No. 6832-C, this matter has been resolved by the Board as part of Agenda Item 3C.

Next, Langston moved to Agenda Item 3E Review and Discuss Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) Update(s) (For Possible Action). Oppenlander indicated that the Board would be hearing a report from member Sanders shortly about new member ASWB board training. Also, we recently learned from ASWB that is holding a special board meeting in August and they have requested a BESW Board delegate to participate. The topic is about the release of exam performance data. Oppenlander asked Langston to discuss this further. Langston said that she was an item writer for ASWB for over 10 years. In addition, as the Department Chair and Director of the School of Social Work at UNLV, we had to collect, do performance data every year as part of our reports to the university and every seven years as a part of our accreditation standards. Langston has interest, experience, and knowledge about how the release of exam performance data process works but felt that other Board members might want to attend to gain understanding. She asked for more specific information about how ASWB workshops are budgeted for. Oppenlander responded that ASWB budgets for one BESW Board member to attend per event. If BESW decides to send additional members, it would come from the BESW budget. If BESW is mindful about spacing attendance so that everybody gets a chance to go to an ASWB event, it seems to be the best way for reducing impact on the BESW budget. A brief discussion led to a motion.

A motion was made to select Esther Langston as the primary BESW delegate for the ASWB special meeting to be held August 4, 2022, and for Abigail Klimas to be the alternate BESW delegate. Roll call vote: Klimas – Aye, Sanders – Aye, Langston – Aye. Motion passed unanimously.

Langston then asked Sanders to give a report about the March ASWB New Board Member Training held in San Diego, California. Sanders summarized her experiences. Her early arrival allowed for informal, but highly educational interactions with ASWB exam writer committee members. The ASWB managerial (executive) staff was present, interactive, and personable. They led the Board Training simultaneously with the annual conference for exam question writers. That group was formally introduced later to those attending the New Board Member Training. They learned about the committee members' varying backgrounds and the roles they play in ensuring testing that clarifies whether the applicant has achieved a standard level of education suited for the practice of social work as well as the implementation of a fair/ unbiased exam process.

The overall New Board Member Training provided: 1) clarity of the ASWB's history and ongoing role to support each state's (districts, provinces) social work board; 2) We learned about how to better "regulate" our practices within our own boards e.g., (a) assess methods of communication (for private and public meetings), (b) board sizes and make-up, (c) they dissected the ASWB Model Social Work Practice Act to understand and better address legal challenges, (d) the decision-making ability of boards (limitations and responsibilities); and 3) trainees were prompted to re-think the practice of regulation in changing times.

And they learned about Interstate Compacts; and many members talked about the make-up of composite boards e.g., those in Arizona and Florida. This training was more in depth than the online training that is offered especially the in-depth coverage of legal aspects that covered various policies and scenarios.

At this juncture, **Langston** let the Board know that she has signed up for the ASWB New Member Board Training in the fall. **Sanders** spoke about other trainings that are coming up e.g., in Chicago and her desire to attend online to keep up with all the changes that are occurring. **Oppenlander** reminded members (previously discussed) that she will attend online the April 2022 ASWB Educational Conference.

Following, Langston moved to Agenda Item 3F Executive Director's Report (Discussion only). Oppenlander first covered Item 3F (i) Recap of First 4 Years as BESW's Executive Director. Oppenlander took an opportunity to recap her first four years on the job following a one-page summary sent to the Board prior to the meeting. In summary, all Board members have gone through an in-house training on the basics. Also, all Board members have attended a training from the Attorney General's office or are in process of accessing online links to the AGs state training modules. And as we've already discussed today, all Board members are getting regular updates from the Association of Social Work Boards about additional North American training opportunities.

At some point, we have learned that BESW was legislated into existence in 1987. So, this is our 35th year in operation. She stated that she would not be covering all 35 years, although she has been licensed with the Board for 32 of those years primarily serving as an LISW in the nonprofit sector after initially becoming an LSW in 1990. She graduated from the first graduating class from UNR's master's program and subsequently completed 3000 hours of postgraduate internship and examination. As an LISW, she has been a community organizer and developer for most of her adult life.

The Board is established with five members. They're appointed by the Governor to provide for licensing, discipline, and fees for social workers. To the five Board members, **Oppenlander** addressed her experience stepping out of the nonprofit sector into the government sector four years ago in April of 2018. She shared some accomplishments of the Board during the 4-year period that she is most familiar with.

Board members created their first plan. It sets out specific prioritized strategies. Previously, there was not a strategic plan, a business plan, or an operational plan in place. The four pillars of the strategic plan that was created in 2018 include 1) BESW will improve relationships with licensees, external partners, and other stakeholders, and be perceived as responsive, easy to work with collaborative and fair; 2) BESW operations will be streamlined efficient, and user friendly; 3) BESW will ensure appropriate timely processing of disciplinary complaints against licensees; 4) BESW needs to strengthen accounting practices and ensure financial stability.

Oppenlander spoke about communications and public relations. From her perspective, there has been a compassionate, cultural shift taking place on subtle levels in the office that she refers to as balancing kindness with upholding standards. The staff has worked towards becoming more outward facing. BESW has successfully surveyed the licensing community for feedback with satisfaction survey results, indicating satisfaction of 75% of respondents that strongly agree or agree on three questions that were asked by a Board work group. The work group decided to add a survey to the last page of a new online licensing renewal process. The stated goal was to achieve a good satisfaction survey rating, and BESW achieved its goal. In April, BESW reengaged a work group member that was part of that survey process based on a conversation brought up during the prior Board meeting three weeks ago. The work group member previously conducted the last survey for BESW and is interested in helping with the next stage in the Board's outreach process.

Additionally, BESW conducted a required listening tour, if you will, for Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) changes. BESW is now moving into the process of preparing to connect with Nevadans again to discuss its next set of NAC changes that correspond with legislation passed in 2021 (Senate Bill 44). So, we reengaged that same work group member to help us move forward with the NAC change process.

We have had numerous legislator focused conversations, outreach, and testimony, which has led to the first fee cap increases by this Board since 1995; and approval of additional LMSW category that had been mandated by ASWB. Furthermore, BESW staff has served on social work advisory committees for both UNR and UNLV.

BESW also responds to consumer inquiries regarding social work services. We have 13 categories of queries that we respond to. We have continuously provided live in person telephone responses and dropin office hours, Monday through Thursday, nine to four, over the four-year period; The was even during a period when many state offices were closed due to COVID.

Moving to the next strategic plan category of Board Operations, immediately after starting in 2018, there was a benefits payout of two personnel packages. First, a payout was made for the Director of Social Work Practice who left in May of 2018. Following this payout, in June of 2018, there was another payout for a Program Assistant who retired. Staffing levels were reduced after this due to budgetary concerns. At that point, the average annual salary had been \$38,122 and the median salary was \$42,700. The Board of Examiners for Social Workers average salary was 19% lower than the United States average and median salary was 2% lower than the United States meeting similar class specifications. At the same time as staffing was reduced, **Oppenlander**'s view was that BESW needed to maintain its licensee base and

increase its licensee base to attain agency stability and success. And we have been successful. In 2020 BESW surpassed 3000 licensees, and in 2021 surpassed 4,000 licensees.

To achieve this, BESW operations have been streamlined, become more efficient and user friendly, striving to minimize procedural barriers. In 2018, we began accepting credit cards to renew or acquire a license, in addition to continued acceptance of checks and money orders (but no longer received cash). In 2019, we implemented an easy-to-use 24/7 online license renewal system with real time license verification and multiple features to assist social workers.

In 2021, the Board was able to help secure passage of SB 44 to provide for the issuance of a license as a master social worker. Besides fulfilling an ASWB mandate to add this category, from a workforce development and career path viewpoint, offering the LMSW license category is attractive. From the staffing side, this change required rolling up over 850 LSW licensees to LMSW licensees which was labor intensive. Yet, staff continued to handle its increased workload based on licensing trends with fewer staff.

With intent to use a modernized data capture system, in 2018 the Board approved a process to move forward with the implementation of Big Picture Software's E- licensing software product to replace the Board's former access database/ excel based system. The Board implemented management software to allow for the processing of online license renewals in 2019, along with other online services e.g., licensee look up and verification, which is good for licenses and the public. The Board implemented online applications in 2021 and is currently finishing up online applications for internships in 2022.

According to **Oppenlander**, the most important change that has taken place over the past 4 years was that <u>BESW moved from paper to computers and from computers to the clouds</u>. When she walked into the BESW office in April 2018, staff were generally using pen, paper, and stamps. She said that two people had email access and while they had access to it, they weren't given permission to utilize it. The switch to utilizing available tools has helped to create a stronger and more viable organization.

Lastly, in the category of Board Operations, BESW was offered an opportunity and began to participate in an Administrative Collaborative with other boards with similar interests.

Next, **Oppenlander** discussed the Disciplinary Function of the Board. Beginning in June 2018, she took over a considerable backlog without sufficient training for this. There was a 68-case backlog ranging back to 2009 that was contained in a disorganized fashion in the BESW 1,250 square foot office space. Over time, staff has managed to contain and control the paperwork and make headway in reducing the backlog while continuing to receive new complaints made against licensees.

Later in 2018, the Executive Director was sent to CLEAR training to learn about the basics of the disciplinary process. Prior to this, BESW was assigning case numbers to every complaint although some complaints were eventually discharged as they were not able to be verified. Of note, BESW also experienced a change of deputy attorney generals monthly. BESW eventually was assigned DAG Detmer who help the Executive Director with trying to sort out what was left behind for the BESW staff. The backlog was essentially made up of time-consuming 'cold cases' that current staff had no familiarity with. With help from the DAG, and a kind trainer from CLEAR, the Board was able to develop an appropriate prioritization process to allow BESW to take care of its new cases while attempting to simultaneously relieve the backlog of cases by using an appropriate Board-approved matrix.

By June 30th, 2019, BESW had 92 cases. The BESW Compliance Unit was able to reduce the case load to 55 cases by June 30th, 2020, and to 53 cases by June 30th, 2021. The Board's strategic goal of clearing 75% of pre-2018 cases was accomplished in 2021. At that time, backlogged cases from 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 were thoroughly reviewed and cleared through discharge or through actions taken. And now, as of the last Board meeting, we're in the process of clearing 100% of the pre-2018 cases by year end 2022.

Last, in terms of strategic planning, I want to move to BESW Financial Positioning. Our organization's finances are stabilized now. Before the Board decided to increase its fee caps through successful legislation in 2019, it came to realize that fee caps had not been raised since 1995. It was determined that the Board was bankrupt via several sources including the LCB audit team who called Oppenlander to Carson City to let her know that the Board was bankrupt. On the other hand, she was also told by the EBAC Auditor that the Board was not bankrupt. On one hand, the executive branch said BESW wasn't bankrupt, and the legislative branch said that BESW was bankrupt. As Oppenlander was in her first few months on the job in 2018, she found this both interesting and confusing. Then-President Vikki Erickson joined the Executive Director to dig into the numbers on the weekend. Vikki was a true asset as before she was a social worker, she was in the accounting field. The President and Executive Director searched through BESW accounts and audits to figure things out. Simultaneously, BESW hired Capital Partners; and principal Mendy Elliott who had been the former Chief of Staff for Governor Jim Gibbons and a senior vice president at Wells Fargo for decades, did a 'run rate' at a Board meeting; and she illustrated why the Board was bankrupt; and she explained what would happen if the Board did not raise its fee ceilings through legislative changes. What we learned was that the BESW budget had not been set up to make ends meet and that we weren't charging enough fees each year for financial stability. What was happening is that the Board was operating on a cash basis. As fees came in monthly, the Board was given the impression that they could spend the income; however, the Board had not set aside monies for various obligations.

Before fee increases went into effect in 2020, the first BESW Strategic Plan had a goal to set aside a reserve for five months. That was for based on two statements. One is that EBAC said that we needed four months set aside, and LCB said that we needed to set aside six months. So, the Board averaged those two for lack of a better number. The goal recently came to fruition in our current budget year from July 1st, 2021, through June 30th, 2022. And, currently the Board is maintaining approximately nine months operating revenue in its fund balance. As the Board creates its new three-year strategic plan, the Executive Director will be requesting a goal revision for its Board Reserves Policy and for the Board to create investment policies. Now that BESW has monies that it can set aside, and we have money that the board is able to set aside, it is desirable to have a secure, balanced financial portfolio. As we started to discuss in March, an increase in Board Reserves to 12 months is now considered more appropriate than five months. The Executive Director became aware of this while testifying at a Senate Committee hearing when Senator Spearman explained to the Executive Director that five months was insufficient and that her understanding is that a Board needs 12-month reserves.

More recently, the same recommendation was made by several other boards that participate in the Administrative Collaborative. To continue this conversation, an expert from the collaborative will come to the next Board meeting in May to offer some background for the Board's consideration.

In the meantime, BESW has endeavored to create financial statements that identify, explain variances between actual results and/ or projected budget, and BESW now uses a hybrid accrual/ cash method of reporting. To conclude, BESW had an annual audit conducted by a new firm that was generated and

submitted timely for its December 1st, 2021, state deadline. The new BESW auditor made recommendations that can be strategically implemented by the Board in its new strategic plan.

Moving on from the strategic plan and successful outcomes, **Oppenlander** wanted to wrap up her report by giving the Board some sense of what's going on in terms of some of the changes taking place that member **Sanders** mentioned in her report. She spoke about licensing barriers noting important considerations. She wanted the Board to be aware that occupational licensing is widely recognized as one most important labor market issues in the United States and that some say that occupational licensing (put simply) is government permission to work for pay in a particular occupation. Securing a license may require education and/ or experience, exams, fees, and more. This means licensing may compose a major barrier to entry for aspiring workers because states require licensing for many occupations. The percentage of the United States jobs that require licensure has increased from less than 5% in the 1950s to between 25 and 30% today. And in 2015, Nevada had the highest percentage of the licensed workers in the United States at 30.7%.

To continue to bring everyone up to date, during the July 2019 Board Workshop, we let the Board know about the executive branch audit committee's meetings with the Governor. Their meetings were set to discuss the establishment of Executive Branch oversight of boards under the Department of Business and Industry. This move would reportedly establish standards for regulatory, financial, and administrative operations. Stated reasons to go in this direction included that Boards would be made up of subject and experts and public members, and administration would be centralized and consistent. It would promote occupational career information and licensing, including how to get an online license. The value of the license investigations would be consolidated, and standardized financials would be standardized. Legal issues would be handled all through the Attorney General's office. And this structure would address various issues ranging from consumer protection to its structures.

Also, all boards including BESW are asked to facilitate licensing that reduces worker mobility issues or increases worker mobility options between states when requirements are different among those states. An example is to help those who have barriers e.g., the example of a trailing military spouse who must move quickly with military spouse who has been redeployed. Becoming licensed in a new locale might be impracticable. These discussions have led to a national move towards Interstate Compacts, which ASWB took a staff lead on in May 2021. We can look to ASWB for updates on progress of this effort.

In the meantime, during every meeting that I go to e.g., as last week's interim finance committee meeting, the prior week's interim sunset committee meeting, and etcetera, we are learning that the Governor is going to continue to pursue the movement towards bringing boards like ours under an umbrella such as Business and Industry. There was proposed in legislation in 2021 that didn't pass, and there is another plan being developed to bring this idea back to the table in 2023. **Oppenlander** wanted to make certain that the newest Board members have heard about this as it's something BESW will need to follow very closely.

That completed **Oppenlander**'s four-year snapshot of her main impressions since starting in the position. **Langston** thanked her for the comprehensive report. At that time, the Board asked various questions for clarification. And there were questions that led to ideas that might end up being incorporated into the upcoming 3-year strategic plan process. **Klimas** briefly brought up a need for a lobbyist again during the 2023 legislative session. **Oppenlander** will potentially look at a smaller contract than we had before when BESW was introducing NRS changes if that's where the Board wants to go. **Klimas** added that at this point, bills might have an impact on our Board and how we regulate; so, it might be helpful to have somebody

tracking bills and etcetera. **Oppenlander** answered that she would push this part of the discussion into future agenda items to stay within this agenda item.

Next, Oppenlander moved to Agenda Item 3F (ii) Pending Litigation Matter in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada - Case No. 3:20-cv-571-MMD-WG. This item has not been resolved and DAG Bhalla will update the Board as soon as the status changes. Langston asked for 'Cliff Notes' on this matter and Oppenlander said that four rural licensees (respondents) were not sanctioned by the social work board after a complaint was made against them. The complainants than filed a suit against the four licensees and the Executive Director. Litigation is currently with Judge Miranda Du, at the United States District Court, District of Nevada. BESW's previous DAG caught this case, along with colleague caught the same case for the 4 social workers working for child protective services for State of Nevada DHHS. The two DAGs hope that litigation will be wrapped up soon but at this time, it's an ongoing process.

Oppenlander moved to Agenda Item 3F (iii) Future Agenda Items: 1) Understanding reserves categories; 2) Updating reserves policy; 3) Address items outlined by the auditor; 4) Relinquishments; 5) Nevada Administrative Code changes; 6) Nevada Revised Statutes. And potentially, we might add another item for a contract for a lobbying firm based on today's comments. Lastly, in Agenda Item 3F (iv), the next Board meeting is scheduled for 9:00 AM, Wednesday, May 18th, 2022. And that completes the Executive Director's Report.

Langston moved to **Agenda Item 4 - Public Comment. Oppenlander** indicated that there was no public comment in the email today. Hearing no public comment, **Langston** moved to **Agenda Item 5** and adjourned the meeting until May at 10:38 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by Karen Oppenlander.